IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\* \*2/

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Patent Application of

YACCARINO III

Serial No.: 09/503,166

Filed: February 14, 2000

For:

COMPOUND BONE STRUCTURE:

OF ALLOGRAFT TISSUE WITH

THREADED FASTENERS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**EXAMINER PHAN/McDERMOTT** 

Group Art Unit 3738



## RESPONSE TO 37 CFR 1.192(c) ACTION

In response to the 37 CFR 1.192(c) Action dated September 23, 2003, copy enclosed Applicant hereby submits a revised Appeal Brief and two copies in which the Brief contains a concise explanation of the claimed invention referring to the specification by page and line number and reference numbers. The Statement of Interest has also been clarified.

Applicant would point out that the Action of September 23, 2003 arrived by U.S. Postal Service a full month after the date of the Action. Applicant assumes that the delay in receipt may be due to disruption caused by Hurricane Isabel.

A Request for One Month Extension of Time accompanies this filing as well as our our check in the amount of \$110.00. If any additional fees are incurred, kindly charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 07-1340.

Respectfully submitted,

**GIPPLE & HALE** 

dhn S. Hale

Registration No. 25,209

(703) 448-1770 6665-A Old Dominion Drive McLean, Virginia 22101 Attorney Ref.: X-9304

PTO/SB/17 (10-03

Approv. \_\_r use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

persons are required to respond to a validation of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwe Complete if Known 09/503,166 Application Number February 14, 2000 Filing Date for FY 2004 Yaccarino First Named Inventor Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision. Phan **Examiner Name** Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 3738 Art Unit X-9304 (\$) 110.00 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT Attorney Docket No. FEE CALCULATION (continued) METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Other ✓ Check 

☐ Money None Credit card arge Entity , Small Entity ✓ Deposit Account: Fee Fee Description Code (\$) <u>Fee Paid</u> Code (\$) Deposit 07-1340 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath Account 2051 1051 130 Number Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or 1052 50 2052 Deposit **GIPPLE & HALE** cover sheet Account 130 Non-English specification Name 130 1053 1053 The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 ✓ Credit any overpayments Charge fee(s) indicated below 920° Requesting publication of SIR prior to 1804 920 1804 ✓ Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) Examiner action Requesting publication of SIR after Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee 1805 1,8401 1805 1,840 Examiner action to the above-identified deposit account 110.00 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 1251 110 **FEE CALCULATION** Extension for reply within second month 2252 210 1252 420 1. BASIC FILING FEE Extension for reply within third month 2253 475 1253 950 arge Entity Small Entity Fee Paid Extension for reply within fourth month Fee Description 2254 1254 1.480 Fee Fee Code (\$) Fee Fee Code (\$) 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 2255 1255 2.010 Utility filing fee 2001 385 1001 770 1401 330 2401 165 Notice of Appeal Design filing fee 2002 170 1002 340 165 Filling a brief in support of an appeal 2402 1402 330 1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee 145 Request for oral hearing 1403 290 2403 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 1004 770 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1451 1,510 1451 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1005 160 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1452 110 2452 **SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0** 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 2453. 1453 1,330 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 665 Utility issue fee (or reissue) 2501 1501 1,330 2502 240 Design issue fee Ext<u>ra Claim</u>s Fee Paid 1502 480 below Total Claims 2503 320 Plant issue fee 640 -20\* 1503 Independent 130 Petitions to the Commissioner 130 1460 1460 Multiple Dependent 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 50 1807 1807 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt 180 1806 Large Entity <sub>I</sub> 1806 Small Entity 40 Recording each patent assignment per Fee Fee Code (\$) Fee Description Fee Fee Code (\$) 40 8021 8021 property (times number of properties) 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) Claims in excess of 20 2202 1202 18 770 2809 1809 Independent claims in excess of 3 86 2201 43 1201 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be 1810 2203 145 1203 290 examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) \*\* Reissue independent claims 2204 43 1204 86 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 2801 1801 770 over original patent 900 Request for expedited examination 1802 1802 900 \*\* Reissue claims in excess of 20 2205 1205 18 and over original patent of a design application Other fee (specify)  $|(\$)|^{0}$ SUBTOTAL (2) \*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid (\$) 110.00 SUBTOTAL (3) or number previously paid, if greater, For Relssues, see above

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

John S. Hale

Registration No. (Attornev/Agent)

Z5,209

Telephone 703-448-1770

Date 11/24/2003

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESSEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Redom in Act of 1995.	no persons are required to respond to a	PTO/SB/21 (08-03) Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031  3 Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
THIS IS	Application Number	09/503,166
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	February 14, 2000
FORM	First Named Inventor	Yaccarino
(to be used for all correspondence after initial fil	ling) Art Unit	3738
	Examiner Name	Phan
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	r x-9304
Total Yumber of Coges	ENCLOSURES (Check	all that apply)
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revoc Change of Corresponden  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Remarks  Attached corrected Appeal Brief	After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):   **RECE/I/CD TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
		TORNET, OR AGENT
Firm or Individual name Signature Date November 24, 2003		
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSM	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is I sufficient postage as first class mail in an er	being facsimile transmitted to the l nvelope addressed to: Commission	ISPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with ler for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Signature

Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## UNITED STATES PAPENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	- ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/503,166	02/14/2000	Joseph A. Yaccarino III	X-9304	6169
. 75	90 09/23/2003			
Gipple & Hale			EXAM	INER
6665-A Old Do McLean, VA 2		×. ,		
		<u>.</u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	JC873		_		
	12 0	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	- 2 " Mrs	9/503,166		YACCARINO III ET AL.	**
7.47.66.y 7.66.6	10 MM.	Examinér		Art Unit	
	W. W. W. B.	Hieu Phan		3738	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with₋37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 January 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 29-32</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10 Other:
Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/503,166

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Even though the continuation application of Paul et al. (U.S. Patent 6,258,125) has added Joseph A. Yaccarino, III as an inventor, and United states Patent number 6,025,538, of which the present application is continuation-in-part, added David C. Paul as inventor, does not over come the fact that both patents ('125 and '538) have a different inventive entity and assignee.

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliances,	09/503,166	YACCARINO III ET AL.			
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)	Examiner	Art Unit			
O 7 h Mis E	Hieu Phan	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication oppears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>03/27/2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.					
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).					
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).					
4.   The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).					
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).					
6. A single ground of rejection has been applied t	o two or more claims in this appli	cation, and			
(a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
(b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).					
8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).					
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):					
•					
· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	CORRINE MC	DERIMOTI			
	CUDEDVISORY PAT	ENT EXAMMER			
	TECHNOLOGY C	ENTER 3700			

1-17